

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,563	12/20/2004	Motoshi Kawamura	F-8489	8396	
-0.0.	28107 7590 04/20/2007 JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			EXAMINER	
122 EAST 42NI		FOOTLAND	LENARD A		
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
112 11 10144, 11			3682		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
21 DAVS		04/20/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,563	KAWAMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
· .	Lenard A. Footland	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.	·				
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	·					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	= : : .	, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) U Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	асель Аррисацоп				
- cps(c),						

Application/Control Number: 10/518,563

Art Unit: 3682

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figure(s) 1-3 versus that of Fig(s). 4 versus Fig(s). 5-7 v Fig(s). 8 v 9 v 10-11 v 12.

The species are independent or distinct because they contain mutually exclusive features.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, AND A LISTING OF ALL CLAIMS READABLE THEREON (NOT, FOR EXAMPLE, "AT LEAST CLAIMS..."), INCLUDING ANY CLAIMS SUBSEQUENTLY ADDED, AND IF THE AMENDMENT OF ANY CLAIMS RESULTS IN A CHANGE OF THE SPECIES THEY READ UPON, THAT TOO SHOULD BE INDICATED. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN A HOLDING OF NONRESPONSIVENESS. (Note that any "schematically" or "generically" illustrated elected species may not schematically or generically represent plural embodiments varying claimed features so as to defeat restriction, unless clarified by drawing corrections, to be responsive. If those details are illustrated in other figure[s], that other figure[s] should be identified and, if there are plural embodiments, each such embodiment should be considered a separate species, and if the "schematic" or "generic" figure is

Application/Control Number: 10/518,563

Art Unit: 3682

desired to be prosecuted, an election of one embodiment as the elected species should be made.) An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.¹

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

The elected species is limited to the features set forth in the elected figures, and does not include features not illustrated in those figures, or illustrated in other figures. Accordingly, applicant should review all claims to ensure that all features of the elected

¹ Applicants may wish to consider listing claims readable with care in view of the possible consequences of having to later cancel them.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,563

Art Unit: 3682

Page 4

species are properly illustrated, as required, in order to avoid a holding that an unillustrated feature does not form part of the elected species.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7103.

Lenard A. Footland

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600

Art Unit 3682

laf

April 16, 2007